

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,090	11/15/2001	Gordhanbhai Patel	3800.001	6317
30589	7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C. PO BOX 16370			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	,

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/009,090	PATEL, GORDHANBHAI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lyle A. Alexander	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: , cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Octoors</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 50 and 62-72 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 50 and 62-72 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	-			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re	lication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	•				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 50 and 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ignacio et al in view of Matzinger et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/1/06 Office action.

Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujisawa in view of Omatsu et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/1/06 Office action.

Claim 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujisawa in view of Omatsu et al. as applied to claims 62-64 above, and further in view of Tautvydas.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/1/06 Office action.

Claims 66-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujisawa in view of Omatsu et al. as applied to claim 65 above, and further in view of Matzinger et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 6/1/06 Office action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/2/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants state Matzinger lacks 1)motivation to combine and; 2)a reasonable expectation of success. The Office notes Applicants in the first paragraph on page 5 of the 6/1/06 remarks quote Matzinger as teaching ".... good or better in color, adhesion and tack, as well as significant improvements in dye dispersion and stability". The Office maintains this is sufficient motivation to make the combination. With respect to "2)" The Office takes the position because Matzinger is directed to the same field of endeavor as the instant invention, there would be a high expectation of success.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

\*\*\*